Prices of nitrogen, phosphate, and potash fertilizers began increasing in 2002, then increased sharply and reached historic highs in mid-2008. During the 12 month period between April 2007 and April 2008, nitrogen prices increased 32 percent, and the price of phosphate and potash nearly doubled. The USDA Index of Prices Paid by Farmers for Fertilizer, which reflects all types of fertilizer and has a base period of 1990-92, increased by 216 points between 2006 and 2008.

In late 2008, fertilizer prices moderated with the index falling 104 points. Since 2008, the prices and price volatility of fertilizer has moderated. However, the structural changes that led to volatility in the fertilizer market are still present. The events of 2007-2008 periods underscore the need to understand fertilizer price risk in the context of a producer total risk exposure, the causes of fertilizer price volatility and strategies to control fertilizer price risk. This Fact Sheet focuses on the second issue, discussing the underlying causes of fertilizer price volatility.

The underlying causes of fertilizer price volatility relate to supply and demand factors and the structure of the fertilizer industry. U.S. fertilizer production capacity declined 42 percent between 2000 and 2008. This made the U.S. fertilizer industry more dependent on global trade. The U.S. transitioned from being an exporter of nitrogen fertilizer in the 1980’s to the world’s largest importer. The U.S. is the second largest importer of potash fertilizer (behind China) but is still a larger exporter of phosphate products.

Shift to a Global Supply Chain

The source of imported fertilizer varies by product. Roughly half of U.S. ammonia fertilizer is imported through Trinidad and Tobago in the Caribbean, which are close to a supply of natural gas. Approximately 70 percent of Urea is imported from Canada, Eastern Europe, China and Venezuela. Around 30 percent of UAN (urea ammonium nitrate) is imported from Canada, Russia and Eastern Europe. Domestic nitrogen plants are built near natural gas supplies and the products are then transported to crop producing regions.

The U.S. produces phosphate fertilizers including mono-ammonium phosphate (MAP) and diammonium phosphate (DAP). These products are manufactured using supplies of phosphate rock in Florida, supplemented with imported raw material from Morocco. Phosphate plants in the U.S. are located near the Florida and Texas coasts. Phosphate plants use the imported and domestically sourced phosphate rocks along with ammonia and sulfur. Around 35 percent of production is exported from the U.S. into India and Latin America. Potash is the final major component of fertilizers. The U.S. imports almost 80 percent of its potash from Canada.

Prices of Raw Materials are Also Volatile

The prices of raw material inputs contribute to the volatility in fertilizer prices. It takes approximately 33,500 cubic feet of natural gas to produce one ton of anhydrous ammonia (82-0-0). The cost of natural gas can account for up to 90 percent of the cost of manufacturing anhydrous ammonia. Anhydrous ammonia is then used to manufacture dry N fertilizers, such as ammonium nitrate (34-0-0) and urea (46-0-0). Prices of natural gas rose more than 550 percent during the past 10 years. Between June 2007 and June 2008, natural gas prices increased more than 65 percent. Among commodities, natural gas prices have some of the highest volatility, second only to electricity. Prices of phosphate rock, sulfur, and other materials used to produce fertilizers are also reasonably volatile. The price of Morroccan phosphate rock tripled during 2007, while international contract prices of sulfur increased more than 170 percent.

Global Trade Increases Volatility

This increased dependency on global trade has contributed to increased price volatility. The U.S. fertilizer marketed...
is impacted by new factors including global demand and population growth, ocean vessel transportation rates, foreign trade policies and the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies. World population growth along with increasing average incomes in developing countries, directly impacts the demand for food and indirectly impacts the demand for fertilizer. The world population is currently increasing at a rate of 75 million per year creating additional food demand. Because of current (2010) economic conditions, global fertilizer demand has moderated. Over the long run, population and income growth will continue to put upward pressure on demand for fertilizers.

The global supply chain has also increased transportation costs. Transportation costs account for more than 20 percent of the cost of ammonia shipped from Trinidad and Tobago to the U.S. Gulf Coast, and more than 50 percent of the cost of ammonia sourced from Russia to the U.S. Gulf Coast. The cost of transporting fertilizers from the U.S. Gulf Coast to crop production regions is also highly impacted by energy prices. During the three years ending in January 2008, U.S. rail rates to transport ammonia from the Gulf Coast to the Mid-west increased 63 percent, and an additional 44 percent fuel surcharge was added to U.S. rail transport costs in July 2008 because of high fuel prices.

The increased reliance on imported product has also lengthened the supply chain. When sourcing from overseas, fertilizer retailers must purchase inventories six to nine months prior to the time that producers actually purchase and use the product. This forces dealers to try and project demand, as well as increasing their capital requirements and subjecting them to exchange rate risks. During the 2003 to 2008 period the value of the U.S. dollar depreciated 48 percent relative to the Brazilian real, 34 percent relative to the Canadian dollar, 23 percent relative to the Russian ruble, 18 percent relative to the Indian rupee and 13 percent relative to the Chinese yuan. This increased the cost of fertilizer imports and made U.S. fertilizer exports cheaper to the importing country. The resulting increased foreign demand for phosphate fertilizers affected the U.S. supply.

A global supply chain also links U.S. producers to policies made by foreign governments. Some countries subsidize fertilizer or cap domestic fertilizer prices. Farmers in these countries do not adjust usage in response to global price changes which increases volatility in the remaining market. Fertilizer exporting countries can also impose tariffs or export restrictions. For example, in 2008, China imposed an export tariff of 185 percent on MAP and DAP products to ensure domestic production remained in China.

### Outlook for Fertilizer Price Volatility

The structural changes in the fertilizer market have created the potential for increased volatility. Fertilizer prices, like crop prices, reflect market conditions. Like crop prices, they are sensitive to, and react quickly, to a change in demand and supply. Because the production capacity of the U.S. fertilizer industry is limited, supply adjustments are increasingly linked to global factors including global food demand, ocean vessel transportation rates, foreign policies and exchange rate risk. The longer supply chain also means that fertilizer retailers must project demand and purchase inventories six to nine months prior to the time that producers actually purchase and use the products.

On the other hand, the structural changes in the fertilizer market do not imply that fertilizer markets cannot remain relatively stable. During periods when fertilizer demand is not disrupted by unforeseen weather events, changes in global fertilizer trade, or global economic conditions, the supply chain operates efficiently. Price volatility in the United States is likely to differ among fertilizer nutrients because of fundamental differences in various nutrient markets. Nitrogen prices, which are more closely linked to energy markets will likely remain more volatile than phosphate and potash prices. Over the long run, global energy prices are likely to be the dominating factor influencing fertilizer prices in the United States.
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